



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
-----------------	-------------	----------------------	---------------------	------------------

09/705,559

11/02/2000

Joseph A. McCluskey

31083.048001

8707

34018 7590 06/24/2009

GREENBERG TRAURIG, LLP  
77 WEST WACKER DRIVE  
SUITE 3100  
CHICAGO, IL 60601-1732

EXAMINER

HAVAN, THU THAO

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

3695

MAIL DATE

DELIVERY MODE

06/24/2009

PAPER

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

<b>Office Action Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 09/705,559	<b>Applicant(s)</b> MCCLUSKEY ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b> THU-THAO HAVAN	<b>Art Unit</b> 3695	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

### Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

### Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 08 July 2008.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**.                      2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

### Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-16 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-16 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

### Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on \_\_\_\_\_ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.  
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

### Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All    b) ☐ Some \*    c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
  2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. \_\_\_\_\_.
  3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

\* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

### Attachment(s)

- |  |   |
|--|---|
| 1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)          | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413)           |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____                                      |
| 3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)          | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application |
| Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____  | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____                          |

### **Detailed Action**

#### ***Response to Amendment***

Claims 1-16 are pending. This action is in response to the remarks received July 8, 2008.

#### **Response to Arguments**

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-16 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

#### ***Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102***

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claims **1-16** are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Stibel (US 7,089,236).

Re claims **1, 9, 11, and 13**, Stibel teaches a method of providing a customer with information regarding a product (col. 11, lines 44-45), the method comprising:

receiving an electronic request for information for a product (col. 3, lines 1-3);

searching an electronic database to determine if the electronic database contains information for the product (col. 11, lines 43-45); and

when it is determined the electronic database does not contain information for the product, automatically sending an electronic request to a sourcing agent to perform a search outside of the electronic database to gather information for the product which gathered information is returned to the customer in an electronic message and added to the electronic database (col. 13, lines 63-67; figs. 6-7).

Re claim **2**, Stibel teaches forward the electronic request to the sourcing agent as a request for quotation (col. 3, lines 45-54).

Re claim **3**, Stibel teaches request for quotation is prepared by the customer in response to a prompt that is automatically sent to the customer when the electronic database does not contain information for the product (col. 11, lines 43-45).

Re claim **4**, Stibel teaches Internet (fig. 2, element 40).

Re claims **5** and **8**, Stibel teaches e-mail (fig. 6).

Re claim **6**, Stibel teaches a method as claimed in claims 1, 9, 11, and 13 above. Therefore the rationale applied in the rejection of claims 1, 9, 11, and 13 applies herein. Furthermore, Stibel teaches a set of predetermined rules to determine the sourcing agent to which the electronic request is to be routed (fig. 1).

Re claim **7**, Stibel teaches comparing words in the electronic request against a set of predetermined product descriptors to determine a type of product specified in the request (col. 11, lines 43-45).

Art Unit: 3695

Re claim **10**, Stibel teaches sending the source for the product specified in the request as determined by the sourcing agent to an originator of the request (figs. 2).

Re claim **12**, Stibel teaches a method as claimed in claims 1, 9, 11, and 13 above. Therefore the rationale applied in the rejection of claims 1, 9, and 11-13 applies herein. Furthermore, Stibel teaches an option to authorize a further search (fig. 8).

Re claim **14**, Stibel teaches electronic catalog and second component reside on a Web server of a third party vendor (fig. 2).

Re claim **15**, Stibel teaches a portal through which the electronic catalog, first component and second component are accessible (fig. 2).

Re claim **16**, Stibel teaches electronic catalog, first component and second component reside on a single Web server (col. 6, lines 50-67).

### **Conclusion**

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Thu Thao Havan whose telephone number is (571) 272-8111. The examiner can normally be reached from Monday to Friday at 6am-2pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Charles Kyle can be reached on (571) 272-6746. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (571) 273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for unpublished

Art Unit: 3695

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct-uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at (866) 217-9197 (toll-free).

/Thu Thao Havan/  
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3695